

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/725,965	BUNTINX, ERIK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN	1627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Atty. Alan Miller. (3) Dr. Erik Buntinx.
 (2) Atty. Craig Arnold. (4) Dr. Sven Bogaerts
(5) Uma Ramachandran.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Presented data to explain the mechanism of low dosage amounts of pipamperone.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Cases 10/725965, 10/752423 were discussed. Applicants' presented data showing the unexpected results with low dose (5-15 mg) pipamperone. Applicants' will consider amending the claims to advance prosecution..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Umamaheswari Ramachandran/
Examiner, Art Unit 1627